

AO 247 (02/08) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of VirginiaCLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT
AT DANVILLE, VA
FILED

MAR - 3 2008

United States of America)

Starri Yvette Dillard)

v.)

JOHN F. CORCORAN, CLERK

BY: U. Hepp
DEPUTY CLERK) Case No: 4:01CR70056-001) USM No: 09259-084Date of Previous Judgment: February 21, 2002

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

) Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: _____

Amended Offense Level: _____

Criminal History Category: _____

Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ Other (explain): _____

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Defendant's offense involved 4.5 kilograms or more of crack (cocaine base), and thus Defendant is ineligible for a reduction in sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 2/21/2002 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.Order Date: 3/3/08

Jackson Kiser
Judge's signature

Effective Date: 3/3/08
(if different from order date)

Jackson Kiser, Senior United States District Judge
Printed name and title